	_	
Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/453,518	HASEDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kaj Olsen	1753
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Kaj Olsen.	(3)	
(2) <u>Larry Nixon</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>05 February 2003</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)☐ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f \bigcirc was reached. g \bigcirc was not reached. h \bigcirc N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to indicate that the examiner would fax a copy of the initialed 1449 that should have accompanied the Notice of Allowability. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims		
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	.)	ould render the claims
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se checked). 	parate record of the substance	of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	(See MPEP Section 713.04).	If a reply to the last Office

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required